Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/784,193 | OKUI, YOSHIAKI | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | SAMUEL BERHANU | 2838 | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with | h the correspondence add | ress | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods: | the same day as filing a Not replies: (1) an amendment, a eal (with appeal fee) in compl | ice of Appeal. To avoid abar ffidavit, or other evidence, w iance with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | |
| a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| dvisory Action, or (2) the date se ter than SIX MONTHS from the | mailing date of the final rejection | n. | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1 | | IN THE PINOT NEPET WAS TH | LLD WITHIN TWO | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding a hortened statutory period for rep than three months after the mail | mount of the fee. The appropria bly originally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 mu | st be filed within two months | s of the date of | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37) | (e)), to avoid dismissal of the | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, by (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | | cause | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | • | , , | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materi | ally reducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | corresponding number of fina | ılly rejected claims. | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | on-Compliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | arate, timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>2 and 12-20</u> . | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under | appeal and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims a | after entry is below or attach | ed. | |
| 11. All the limitations and the elements are shown in the pre- | | ation in condition for allowan | ce because: | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). | | | | |
| 13. 🛮 Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . | | | | |
| | /Adolf Berhane/ | | | |
| | Adolf Berhane | | | |
| | Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: All the limitiaons and the elements are shown in the previous office communication.

Continuation of 13. Other: All the limitations and the elements are shown in the previous office communication. Regarding to the references, the record shows that both references are cited on PTO-892 the form was attached with previous office communication mailed on 01/16/2008, the copy of this form will be found along with this office communication. Regarding the amendments, Claims 12 and 13 are recite the limitations "judgment circuit" while claim 2 recites "degradation judgment Circuit" these creates lack of antecedents, so the amendments are not entered. Examiner respectfully advised the applicant that "judgment circuit" and "degradation judgment circuit" are not one and the same..